Tuberculosis (TB) causes a significant burden of disease worldwide, with ~9.6 million cases and 1.5 million deaths in 2014. South Africa (SA) is ranked among the top six countries in the world as having the largest number of incident cases. Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) is the organism responsible for TB infection and has been treated successfully for many decades. The emergence of drug-resistant TB has complicated the management of the disease. Multi-drug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) is defined as resistance to the two most effective first-line drugs, isoniazid (INH) and rifampicin (RIF). Of the new TB cases reported in 2013 and 2014, there were ~480 000 cases of MDR-TB annually, with an estimated 6.9 million cases in SA in 2014. It was estimated that 3.3% of new TB cases were due to MDR-TB, whereas 20% of previously treated TB cases had presented with MDR-TB in 2014. Risk factors for the development of MDR-TB include previous exposure to anti-TB treatment, incomplete treatment regimens, poor patient compliance, lack of availability of adequate medication, co-infection with HIV, social barriers, lack of patient access to care, poorly coordinated management strategies from healthcare institutions and inadequate guidelines.

In 2013, there were an estimated 2.1 million new HIV infections globally and 1.5 million new infections in the World Health Organization (WHO) African region. Patients who are co-infected with HIV and TB are more likely to have rapid progression to active TB disease, have a higher likelihood of MDR-TB, and higher mortality. The incidence of HIV co-infection and TB is the highest in the African region, with about 80% of cases being co-infected. In sub-Saharan Africa there was an estimated 70% co-infection rate of drug-resistant TB and HIV. Gandhi et al. demonstrated a 92% co-infection rate of MDR-TB and HIV in KwaZulu-Natal Province, SA.

Resistance to RIF usually occurs due to point mutations of the rpoB gene, with more than 95% of mutations responsible for the development of RIF resistance occurring in this gene. In regions with a high probability of MDR-TB, such as sub-Saharan Africa, RIF resistance can be used as a reliable indicator for MDR-TB. The WHO has endorsed the use of molecular testing for the rapid diagnosis of TB and drug resistance. The Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, USA) assay has been approved for use since December 2010. The assay detects MTB DNA and the presence of RIF resistance. Studies reviewing the effectiveness of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay found a sensitivity of 95% and specificities of 94 - 98% with smear-positive sputum and sensitivities of 55% and 67% in smear-negative sputum. The rapid
result is an advantage when compared to the lengthier duration of conventional drug susceptibility testing (DST). [26]  

In 2011, the SA Department of Health released guidelines for the management of drug-resistant TB. [27] Following the result of RIF-resistant MTB on the Xpert MTB/RIF assay, MDR-TB treatment should be initiated, confirmatory sputum specimens submitted, patients should be isolated and appropriately referred. Treatment should then be reviewed following laboratory confirmation of drug susceptibility. [27] A combination of five effective chemotherapeutic agents are recommended for the treatment of MDR-TB. [28] These include a fluoroquinolone (moxifloxacin or ofloxacin), a second-line aminoglycoside (kanamycin or amikacin), pyrazinamide, ethionamide and terizidone. [27] Commitment from both the patient and the healthcare professional is essential to treat TB and drug-resistance effectively in affected communities. Local studies have shown that patient compliance, delay in initiation of treatment, loss to follow-up, poor contact tracing, and early mortality still remain hindering factors in current treatment strategies. [29-31] Ebonwu et al. [32] found that only 63% of patients were initiated on MDR-TB treatment in Gauteng Province in 2011.  

This study aimed to describe the prevalence and initial management of hospitalised adult patients with RIF-resistant pulmonary TB (PTB), as diagnosed by the Xpert MTB/RIF.  

Methods  

Study setting  

Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital (CHBAH) is a tertiary-level hospital with 702 adult medical beds that serves the community of Soweto and surrounding areas.  

Study design  

This retrospective descriptive study, based on record review, assessed adult patients with RIF-resistant PTB during a two-year period from March 2011 to February 2013. The National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS) provided a list of all patients with a positive Xpert MTB/RIF assay result. Patients included in the study were older than 14 years and were admitted to the adult medical wards at CHBAH.  

The prevalence of RIF resistance was calculated by dividing the number of positive RIF-resistant MTB/Xpert MTB/RIF assays by the total number of positive Xpert MTB/RIF assays (i.e. RIF-sensitive and RIF-resistant) submitted by adult patients at CHBAH during the 2-year period. RIF resistance was further subdivided into MDR-TB (resistance to both RIF and INH), RMR (resistance to RIF only) and unclassified (patients without confirmatory test submission). The management of patients was assessed based on submission of the confirmatory sputum samples (line probe assay (LPA), TB microscopy, TB culture, and DST), appropriate drug therapy (a fluoroquinolone, such as moxifloxacin or ofloxacin, a second-line aminoglycoside, such as kanamycin or amikacin, pyrazinamide, ethionamide, and terizidone), adequate isolation of patients with confirmed RIF-resistance, and referral to a designated drug-resistant TB care centre.  

Data collection  

A list of patients who were >14 years old, and had RIF-resistant MTB confirmed by an Xpert MTB/RIF assay, was compiled and their records were obtained from the CHBAH's records department. Data from the NHLS database and the TB care centre at CHBAH were obtained to support information obtained from the patients' hospital records. For patients with confirmed RIF-resistance, a name search was performed on the NHLS database to obtain all confirmatory sputum samples that were submitted. The data collection sheet used for the study recorded patient demographics, HIV status, CD4 count, antiretroviral therapy (ART), previous TB exposure, previous TB treatment completion, current anti-TB drug treatment, the time taken to obtain the MTB/RIF assay result, the submission and results of additional TB susceptibility tests, isolation of the patient, appropriate referral and hospital outcome. The data were then collated and entered on an Excel worksheet.  

Permission to conduct this study was granted by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of the Witwatersrand (ref. no. M131033) and the Medical Advisory Committee at CHBAH. The National Manager of Academic Affairs and Research at the NHLS granted permission to access their result database.  

Results  

Prevalence of RIF-resistant TB  

Of the 729 positive Xpert MTB/RIF assays submitted, 77 were positive for RIF resistance. The prevalence of RIF-resistant PTB among patients who presented to CHBAH between March 2011 and February 2013, was 10.6%. Seven patients' files could not be traced and therefore they were not included in further analysis. Of the 70 patients, 22 (31.4%) had RMR-TB, 19 (27.1%) had MDR-TB, and 29 (41.4%) patients had no other confirmatory test submitted and therefore the pattern of drug resistance could not be established.  

Demographic characteristics, HIV co-infection and TB history  

Thirty-four patients (49%) were female. The mean (SD) age was 36 (10.2) years. Among 66 patients with known HIV-serostatus, 58 (83%) patients were HIV-positive and 8 (11%) patients were HIV-negative. Among the 51 (88%) patients who had their CD4 cell counts recorded, the mean CD4 cell count was 91 cells/μL. The median CD4 cell count was 43 cells/μL (interquartile range (IQR) 15 - 160). Thirty-two (55%) HIV-positive patients were on ART prior to admission. Forty-one (59%) patients had a history of previous TB, among whom 11 (26.8%) patients had more than one episode of TB. Four (9.8%) patients admitted to not completing their prescribed treatment regimen previously. Twenty-six (37%) patients were being treated for susceptible PTB at the time of hospitalisation (Table 1).  

Management  

Of the 70 patients with RIF-resistant TB, 12 (17%) patients had been discharged and 5 (7%) patients had died. Therefore 53 (75.7%) patients were still in hospital when their Xpert MTB/RIF result was obtained. Table 2 displays the results of the management outcomes for the total cohort (N=70) and the subgroup (N=53) of patients who received their results in hospital.  

Additional susceptibility testing  

It took on average 3.36 days (range: 1 -10 days) from the date of admission to obtain the Xpert MTB/RIF result (Table 2). Overall, 42 (60%) patients had the LPA test submitted, to differentiate...
between MDR-TB and RMR-TB. Of the sputum samples submitted, 53 (76%) and 42 (60%) patients had submitted sputum specimens for TB microscopy and TB culture, respectively. Eighteen (26%) patients had submitted sputum samples for DST. Of the 53 patients who received results in hospital, 38 (72%) patients had the LPA test, 46 (87%) and 36 (68%) patients had a TB microscopy and TB culture sent, respectively. DSTs were requested for 16 (30%) patients. Twenty (29%) patients of the overall cohort had no further sputum tests requested.

### Drug therapy

Of the anti-TB drug therapy that was provided to patients, 23 were initiated on appropriate treatment for MDR-TB, representing 33% of the total cohort and 43% of the 53 remaining inpatients (Table 2). Thirty-nine patients were kept on treatment for drug-susceptible TB.

### Isolation, referral and outcome

It took an average of 4 days to isolate patients. The median duration of hospitalisation was 11 days (IQR 6 - 18). Half of the patients in the study cohort and 66% of the inpatients were isolated, respectively. Appropriate referral was carried out for 34 patients, constituting 49% of the cohort and 64% of the 53 remaining patients.

Of the other 36 patients, 10 (14%) patients were not appropriately referred, 12 (17%) patients were discharged before their result became available, 1 (1.4%) absconded, and 13 (19%) patients died in hospital.

### Discussion

Study of the initial inpatient management of patients diagnosed with RIF-resistant PTB is important for two main reasons. Firstly, for the patient’s benefit, it measures the time to diagnosis after hospitalisation, the submission of further sputum tests to define the extent of resistance to anti-TB drugs, early initiation of guideline-recommended therapy, and referral for supervision and management. Secondly, for the purpose of infection control to protect other patients and healthcare workers, it investigates patient isolation and initiation of effective treatment. Knowing the prevalence of RIF-resistant TB can strengthen the case for effective infection control in a given population.

The prevalence of RIF-resistance during the 2-year period was 10.6%. This was more than double the rate of 4.6% found in a national survey conducted in SA during the period 2012 - 2014.[32] The prevalence of RMR (3.02%) exceeded that of MDR-TB (2.60%), but the unclassified group (3.97%) was the largest due to a lack of submission of confirmatory tests. Since CHBAH is a referral centre for patients with complicated and non-resolving disease processes, it could be expected that the prevalence rates would be higher than the national average. The prevalence rate of RMR has doubled in new TB cases nationally, and in the Western Cape Province, since 2002.[32,33] Risk factors for the increase in prevalence were advanced HIV infection and previous TB treatment.[31]

The recommended management strategy of confirmed RIF-resistant TB includes submission of confirmatory tests, initiation of MDR-TB therapy, isolation, and referral to an appropriate health facility to continue management.[27] The confirmatory tests include sputum samples sent for TB microscopy and culture, LPA, and DST. Overall, our study revealed that 76%, 60%, 60% and 26% of TB microscopy, TB culture, LPA and DST samples were submitted, respectively. A likely reason for the disjuncture between rates of submission for culture and DST is that the laboratory form requires the clinician to request DST separately from culture submissions, whereas many clinicians assume that susceptibility tests would be performed automatically. Two factors that may have contributed negatively to the overall results were patients who were discharged (17%) or had died (7%) before their result had become available. After these two

### Table 1. Prevalence of TB and baseline characteristics of study cohort (N=70)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMR</td>
<td>22 (3.02)</td>
<td>43 (15 - 160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR-TB</td>
<td>19 (2.60)</td>
<td>37 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>29 (3.97)</td>
<td>32 (55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34 (49)</td>
<td>35 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>36 (10.2)</td>
<td>41 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV-positive</td>
<td>58 (83)</td>
<td>32 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 cell count (cells/µL), median (IQR)</td>
<td>11 (6 - 18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 cell count &lt;100 cells/µL</td>
<td>37 (73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>32 (55)</td>
<td>41 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous history of TB</td>
<td>34 (49)</td>
<td>34 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged before results available</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to obtain Xpert MTB/RIF assay result (days), mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.36 (2.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hospitalisation (days), median (IQR)</td>
<td>11 (6 - 18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient mortality</td>
<td>13 (19)</td>
<td>13 (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Management outcomes for study cohort (N=70) and remaining inpatients (N=53)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Study cohort (N=70)</th>
<th>Inpatients (N=53)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>42 (60)</td>
<td>38 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DST</td>
<td>18 (26)</td>
<td>16 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB culture</td>
<td>42 (60)</td>
<td>36 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB microscopy</td>
<td>53 (76)</td>
<td>46 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No additional testing</td>
<td>20 (29)</td>
<td>11 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate drug treatment</td>
<td>23 (33)</td>
<td>23 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients isolated</td>
<td>35 (50)</td>
<td>35 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients appropriately referred</td>
<td>34 (49)</td>
<td>34 (64)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LPA = line probe assay; DST = drug susceptibility testing; TB = tuberculosis.

*Management outcomes of 70 patients with rifampicin-resistant TB and the 53 patients still inpatient at the end of the 2-year period (N=70) and remaining inpatients (N=53).

**Unless otherwise specified.**
In conclusion, our results revealed that the prevalence of RIF-resistant PTB in our setting was >10%. The study also showed that the overall management (including confirmatory tests, appropriate drug therapy, isolation, and referral) of patients with RIF-resistant TB became available were taken into account, 66% of the remaining 53 patients were isolated with a mean time of 4 days after being admitted. The time taken to isolation corresponds to the duration of time taken to obtain the MTB/RIF assay result. Appropriately isolating patients with drug-resistant TB, while awaiting referral, will decrease the risk of further transmission in the hospital setting. Many hospitals have a limited number of isolation facilities, which are typically reserved for patients with a high clinical suspicion for, or confirmed, drug-resistant TB.

Study limitations
Owing to the lack of submission of the follow-up LPA and DST tests, a considerable proportion (40%) of the study population could not be assigned a specific resistance pattern. Although the NHLS system was searched by name for evidence of follow-up testing, the retrospective nature of the study did not allow us to trace patients who had not submitted tests, to ascertain details of further testing and appropriate care. Although the study design allowed for the description of prevalence and initial management at the beginning of the treatment programme, it did not incorporate patients’ adherence to treatment, adverse reactions, and long-term outcomes. The study only reviewed a single healthcare facility and therefore the results only pertain to a limited geographical area, which may not be fully representative of other regions in SA. The adequacy of records and untraceable records was another limitation area, which may not be fully representative of other regions in SA. The study only reviewed a single healthcare facility and therefore the results only pertain to a limited geographical area, which may not be fully representative of other regions in SA. The study only reviewed a single healthcare facility and therefore the results only pertain to a limited geographical area, which may not be fully representative of other regions in SA.
PTB was substandard and there are multiple areas that need to be improved upon. Submission of paired sputum samples and storage by the laboratory may improve the diagnostic yield of drug-resistant TB. Educating healthcare professionals regarding initial management and therapeutic regimens is of paramount importance to help control the scourge of this treatable disease.
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